Thyroid 2024 Q&A

		-
	Question	Answer
1.	Question about NFTP's and now NOT	They are not reportable and I don't think that
	being reportable? Why is that? Makes us	will change. Despite the histologic name, the
	a tad nervous to make NR. Any	WHO determined they do not meet the criteria
	comment?	for a /2 or /3 behavior.
2.	When looking at the drop in cases due to NIFTP, there is a problem. The standard	That is a valid point! I believe these cases were collected as /2 from 2018-2021. Starting
	setters interpreted the term <i>non-invasive</i>	with cases diagnosed 2021, NIFTP is not
	in NIFTP to mean in situ and continued to	reportable to any standard setters. It is
	collect these cases as in situ /2 cases.	considered a /1 behavior.
	Registry numbers will NOT show what the	
	medical experts thought. It took years	
	before this coding was fixed.	
3.	Now that Hurthle and Oxyphilic adneoca	Rule M7 indicates only histologies in the
	is not considered follicular would I I code	follicular and papillary rows of table 12 apply.
	2 primaries if I have a 2.7 cm Hurthle cell	Oxyphilic has it's own row. I assume that
	and a 0.8 cm papillary dx at same time.	means rule M7 would not apply in your
4	We can no longer stop at M7?	scenario.
4.	So , you can use grade D for Undifferentiated / Anaplastic CA?	That is my understanding. High grade can be assigned as grade D for thyroid.
5.	Jim, you said undiff and anaplastic are	According to the Solid Tumor Rules Table 12,
0.	same, so are both 8020/3?	Anaplastic carcinoma is 8021/3 and
		carcinoma undifferentiated is 8020/3.
6.	Do we always code the suffix for thyroid:	This question has been submitted to AJCC
	"m" or "s" Or only for papillary or FTC	through CAnswer Forum for educational
	since according to AJCC are classified ad	products.
	differentiated?	https://cancerbulletin.facs.org/forums/node/
_	On the second of	79685
7.	Can we use TiRads 4 or 5 to apply the m	A question has been submitted to AJCC
	suffix	asking what criteria are required to assign the (m) suffix. I did not ask specifically about the
		Ti RADS scoring. If you have a case where the
		nodules are assigned a TiRADS score, you
		might want to send it to CAnswer forum and
		ask if the scores should be used to assign the
		"m" suffix. IF you do, please let me know!
8.	The clinical m suffix is hard to pin	This question has been submitted to AJCC.
	down, If there are multiple nodules	
	but the only bx one can we use the m	
	suffix or do we need a statement that	
	these are malignant?	

9.	Concerning your question about why cN0a (bx confirmed) is lower than cN0b,	That may be!
	I'm thinking that if visible on imaging there is greater mass with potential	
	malignancy vs microscopic	
	confirmationso if visible on imaging, worse case i.e, cN0b rather than cN0a.	
10.	Maybe the N0a and N0b reasoning is that	That sounds reasonable.
	something that is clinically evident by imaging is usually larger than if only	
	pathologically positive. so maybe it is	
11.	kind of reverse thinkingnot sure PG 890 ajcc second parargraph mentions	That would be stage 2 due to the patient age
	N1 is classifield as stage 1 at dx and reclassified as stage 2 disease in older	and the distant mets.
	patients. so if the pt is 53 with stage t2 n1	Donna from AJCC replied
	m1 what is the correct stage group 1 or 2	The questioner misunderstands the AJCC paragraph.
		It is just pointing out the difference in staging
		for N1 based on the 2 different age groups in the stage table
10	Algorithms bistals as aggregation	_
12.	AJCC pg 882 shows histology 8020 is "Anaplastic thyroid carcinoma", whereas	The preferred term for 8020/3 is Carcinoma, undifferentiated according to ICD O 3.2.
	in STRs 8020/3 is listed as "Carcinoma, undifferentiated"	
13.	Can synchronous papillary thyroid	They may, but according to rule M13, they
	carcinomas occur simultaneously in both the struma ovarii and the thyroid? We	would be 2 primaries.
	encountered a rare case where a patient	
	was dx with high grade papillary thyroid carcinoma within a struma ovarii. the pt	
	underwent ovarian cystectomy, and a few	
	months later, a total thyroidectomy revealed a small nodule in the lobe dx as	
4.4	PTC.	Therefores
14.	Near-total thyroidectomy is an operation that involves the surgical removal of both	Thank you!
	thyroid lobes except for a small amount of thyroid tissue (on one or both sides	
	less than 1.0 mL). Subtotal	
	thyroidectomy leaves 3 g to 5 g on the less affected side of the thyroid	
	gland.Aug 7, 2015	
	Total or near-total thyroidectomy versus	
	subtotal NCBI	

	National Institutes of Health (NIH) (.gov)	
15.	The order of the T, N, and M categories is based on worsening prognosis, and applies to the N0 subcategories.	Thank you!
16.	Regarding reportability on imaging- Parts labeled as findings/impression/recommendation, which is dictated by radiologist? Does 1 take precendence over the other? For eg. if in a report Impression states SUSP of MAL & in the findings & recommendation it states high suspicion. Is high suspicion for MAL reportable?	I would go with the final impression over what you find in the findings section.
17.	Are you going to include the answers to the case scenarios in the handouts sent after a week?	Yes
18.	If an FNA (cyto) is susp, but is later confirmed, is that FNA date the date of DX?	Yes.
19.	Isn't it "different" for thyroid regarding the multifocal vs multiple tumors? That we would code "m" for multifocal for thyroid? (AJCC path T suffix notes (m)multiple synchronous tumors OR for thyroid differentiated and anaplastic only, MULTIFOCAL tumor)	We have sent the question to AJCC. You can find it on the forum for NAACCR Webinars. https://cancerbulletin.facs.org/forums/node/79685
20.	For Case Scenario #1, would the Clin N be cN0b since no LNs on imaging?	Yes!
21.	Why can't you code 1 for LVI if present for Thyroid? STORE manual states you can only code 0,2,3,4 or 9 for this site.	If a pathologist is following the CAP protocol they should differentiate between angio and lymphatic invasion. If they are not following the protocol and simply state LVI is present, it is not clear how the case should be coded. We've submitted a question to the CoC asking for guidance. https://cancerbulletin.facs.org/forums/node/153078